February 25, 1974

PRESIDENT: Have a voice vote. Those in favor of the committee amendments say aye, those opposed no. The committee amendments are adopted.

SENATOR LUEDTKE: Mr. President, I move that the LB..well let's are there any other amendments. I move then that LB 828 as amended by advanced to E & R for the... This is the bill which would order all bailable defendents, at least on their own recognizance, and it's structured after the Federal Bill Reform Act of 1966, and implements the Illinois 10% plan, which maybe some of you have heard about, and which according to testimony before the committee is working very well. The 10% plan is just this. The person now is put on a \$1,000 given..say we will put out on a bail for \$1,000, nowing he has to give the Bail Bondsman a \$100. Then when you show up for trial a week or so later, that \$100 is gone. According to this plan, which will be run by the courts, and after all this individual has to report to the Court. He's under the jurisdiction of that court, continued jurisdiction of that court under this plan. \$100 will be paid into court. When he shows up on his trial day, then 90% of that, or \$90 of that \$100 will be returned to him. \$10 will be retained for administrative purposes. In Illinois where this is paid for the operation into this system. It gives an option to the defendent, whether or not he wants to seek the services of a Bail Bondsman, or whether he wants to go out on the 10% plan. In other words, this plan still keeps the Bail Bondsman alivee and in business, but would there is no doubt about would very much restrict any direct activities of Bail Bondsman, because there would be release on recognizance first of all, and yet bail is required, the 10% plan through the courts. And if no other way they could still go the old way. So we have all three ways under this bail plan.

PRESIDENT: Any discussion. Senator Dickinson.

SENATOR DICKINSON: Mr. President, I think this bill is of a nature that the whole Legislature ought to be here before it is considered on General File.

PRESIDENT: Senator Dickinson did you wish to discuss the bill or do you have. Did you wish to have it laid over. Is it a controversial bill. O.K. This is the rule that we are following and so it will be laid over. LB 829 is the next one.

CLERK: LB 829 introduced by the Judiciary committee.

PRESIDENT: Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. President and members of the Legislature. I think it's unfair to let a bill be totally discussed and be ready to take a vote and then an individual without having any think to object to, say the bill is controversial and therefore it makes it controversial. Why couldn't we go ahead and try to take a vote on it since Senator Luedtke explained everything which he would just have to do over. If somebody doesn't like it, they will show it on the vote. But no objection was made to the bill up to this point. I think the vote a..ought to be taken That is my opinion.

PRESIDENT: Senator Chambers, the Chair shall rule that it is the rule that any time during the hearing the bill, somebody can get up and object. Now if you wish to overrule the Chair that's fine.